A New Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) Assay for Detecting the CytoSure Aberrations in Intellectual Disability and Developmental Delay Samples

Emma Shipstone, James Reid, Sandra Kachhia, John Shovelton, Duarte Molha, Christina Taylor, Jagath Kasturiarachchi, Jolyon Holdstock, Venu Pullabhatla, Laura Parkes, Natalie Milner, Douglas Hurd ¹Oxford Gene Technology (OGT).

Introduction

Array comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH) has been used extensively in research to determine the causative copy number variation (CNV) in Intellectual Disability (ID) and Development Delay (DD) cases². Microarrays have a limitation in that they cannot detect single nucleotide variations (SNVs), which may be causative. Conversely, robust small CNV calling via other genetic techniques, e.g. exome sequencing has remained challenging. To overcome these issues, we have designed an NGS assay and supporting analytical software that reliably detects a wide range of aberration types, including:

- SNVs within 700 targeted ID and DD genes, exons and UTRs
- · Small (single exon) CNVs within the targeted 700 ID and DD genes
- · Larger CNVs (>190kb) across the genome
- Loss of Heterozygosity regions with a resolution of <5Mb

The 700 targeted genes known to be important in ID and DD were carefully selected on the basis of ClinGen³ and DDD⁴ guidelines. The ability to detect these 4 different types of aberration significantly improves the likelihood of detecting causative aberrations compared to aCGH alone.

Outlined here is the design and testing of the assay using research samples obtained from cytogenetic laboratories. A particular focus of the testing has been confirmation that the CNV detection capabilities of the assay are as good as those obtained with aCGH (Figures 1–7). This has included testing with mosaic samples. We have demonstrated excellent concordance between the results obtained with the new assay and aCGH.

Methods



Results I

Small CNV

	Sample	Chromosome ¥	End ¥ 110145343	Type Y Long! Duplcation 65.825	Copy Number ¥	+ Markers T
NAME <th< td=""><td></td><td>2</td><td>239400844</td><td>Ouplication 36-R</td><td>4</td><td>7</td></th<>		2	239400844	Ouplication 36-R	4	7
			132480599	Duplication 2008	3	4
	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1000.002	A		-
	60 m	108,500 m m2,000 m	193,550 m	111,550 m	11,52 m	
	Genes +	H-1+ 0804 - 080 - 080- 140-4 -	(ADDS. MILESCO.		ANNEL MOT
	LOH Calls					
			- 40			
	17					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	170 CNV8					
6						
,						
Las	-m-					

Figure 1: A ~80kb duplication detected on chromosome 2 with both CytoSure Constitutional NGS and B CytoSure Constitutional v3 4x180k array.



Figure 2: A Single exon deletion in a female DMD sample detected with CytoSure NGS and B CytoSure Constitutional v3 4x180k array





Figure 3: A 753kb deletion detected on chromosome 8 on CytoSure Constitutional NGS and B on a 4x180k CytoSure Constitutional v3 array CGH.



Figure 4: A 1.4Mb duplication on chromosome 17 shown with the Interpre oftware on CytoSure Cons B The matching array result with CytoSure Interpret software using a CytoSure 4x180k v2 ISCA array.



Figure 5: A 8.8Mb stretch of LOH on chromosome 7 with a 213kb duplication on CytoSure Constitutional NGS. B Equivalent 4x180k CytoSure Constitutional custom array.

References

2. Sharp et al., Nature Genetics 38 p1038 3. https://clinicalgenome.org/

4. https://www.sanger.ac.uk/science/collaboration/deciphering-developmental-disorders-ddd

Acknowledgements

Centre hospitalier universitaire de Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada Clinical Genome Resource (ClinGen) Prof. Matthew Hurles, FMedSci, FRS, Wellcome Sanger Institute

Further information contact@ogt.com | Oxford Gene Technology Ltd., Begbroke, Oxfordshire, OX5 1PF, UK. | CytoSure: For Research Use Only; Not for Diagnostic Procedures. © Oxford Gene Technology IP Limited - 2021.



What binds us, makes us.

Results II

Indel





Figure 6: Detection of an indel within the NDP gene on chromosome X

SNV



Figure 7: Detection of an SNV in the MYBPC3 gene

Overall Results

	Number tested	Number called	%
Controls	38	38	100
Large CNVs	24	24	100
Small CNVs (<2Mb)	33	33	100
All CNVs	57	57	100
SNVs/Indels	10	10	100
LOH (<5Mb)	62	60	97
Mosaic (>5MB)	3	3	100

Figure 8: Summary of results from overall study

Conclusions

We have demonstrated that CytoSure[®] Constitutional NGS was able to detect CNVs with excellent concordance to microarrays, but has the added benefit of SNV/Indel and LOH calling. We were able to call all the CNVs from our research sample trial at an independent lab providing confidence in the NGS solution. We were also able to call the SNV aberrations from our research samples, providing a rich new source of genetic information in comparison to microarray alone.